

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	Case No. 4:07CR3149
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	<b>TENTATIVE</b>
	)	<b>FINDINGS</b>
CAROLYN M. BAASCH,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

I am in receipt of the presentence investigation report and addendum in this case. Except for the government's motion for upward departure and the defendant's objection thereto, there are no objections or motions for departure or variance.

IT IS ORDERED that:

(1) The undersigned will consult and follow the Guidelines to the extent permitted and required by *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220(2005) and subsequent cases. *See, e.g., Gall v. U.S.*, --- S.Ct. ----, 2007 WL 4292116 (2007). In this regard, the undersigned gives notice that, unless otherwise ordered, he will (a) give the advisory Guidelines such weight as they deserve within the context of each individual case and will filter the Guidelines' general advice through §3553(a)'s list of factors<sup>1</sup>; (b) resolve all factual disputes relevant to sentencing by the greater weight of the evidence and without the aid of a jury; (c) impose upon the government the burden of proof on all Guideline-enhancements; (d) impose upon the defendant the burden of proof on all Guideline-mitigators; (e) depart from the advisory Guidelines, if appropriate, using pre-Booker departure theory; and (f) in cases where a departure using pre-Booker departure theory is not warranted, deviate or vary from the Guidelines when there is a principled reason which justifies a sentence different than

---

<sup>1</sup>However, I will no longer give the Guidelines "substantial weight."

that called for by application of the advisory Guidelines.<sup>2</sup>

(2) (A) The government's motion for upward departure ([filing 51](#)) and the defendant's related "statement of position" opposing that departure ([filing 50](#)) will be taken up at a **two-hour evidentiary hearing scheduled on Friday, August 22, 2008, from 1:00 to 3:00 p.m.** The undersigned intends to rule from the bench immediately following that evidentiary hearing.

(B) After the ruling described in the preceding subparagraph (A), the defendant and the government shall consult, and attempt to reach agreement, on the defendant's eligibility for the "safety-valve." If no agreement is reached, the defendant may file a motion or objection to the presentence report seeking a determination of her eligibility for the "safety-valve." That motion must be filed no later than five calendar days before the sentencing proceeding described in subparagraph (C). Absent the filing of such a motion, the defendant shall not be eligible for the "safety-valve."

(C) **Defendant's sentencing is scheduled for Friday, September 19, 2008, at 12:00 noon.**

(3) Except to the extent (if at all) that I have sustained an objection or granted a motion or reserved an issue for later resolution in the preceding paragraph, the parties are herewith notified that my tentative findings are that the presentence report is correct in all respects.

(4) If **any** party wishes to challenge these tentative findings, said party shall, as soon as possible, but in any event at least five (5) business days before sentencing, file in the court file and serve upon opposing counsel and the court a motion

---

<sup>2</sup>See note 1.

challenging these tentative findings, supported by (a) such evidentiary materials as are required (giving due regard to the requirements of the local rules of practice respecting the submission of evidentiary materials), (b) a brief as to the law and (c) if an evidentiary hearing is requested, a statement describing why an evidentiary hearing is necessary and how long such a hearing would take.

(5) Absent submission of the information required by the preceding paragraph of this order, my tentative findings may become final and the presentence report may be adopted and relied upon by me without more.

(6) Unless otherwise ordered, any motion challenging these tentative findings shall be resolved at sentencing.

August 4, 2008.

BY THE COURT:

*s/ Richard G. Kopf*  
United States District Judge